1	LOYR, APC YOUNG W. RYU, ESQ. (SBN 266372)		
2	young.ryu@loywr.com JOSHUA PARK, ESQ. (SBN 299572)		
3	joshua.park@loywr.com KEE SEOK MAH, ESQ. (SBN 345736)		
4	kee.mah@loywr.com 1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2290		
5	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 318-5323		
6	Facsimile: (800) 576 – 1170		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff DYLAN YEISER-FODNESS		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF C	AI IEODNIA
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES		
11	DYLAN YEISER-FODNESS, an	Case No.: 22STC	V21852
12	individual,	[Assigned for All I	Purposes to the Hon.
13	Plaintiff,	Armen Tamzarian	-
14	v.	PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND TO STAY OR DISMISS	
15	MASTER DOG TRAINING, a		
16	California corporation; 5 STAR K-9		
17	ACADEMY, INC., a California corporation; Ekaterina Korotun, an	PROCEEDINGS	
18	individual; and DOES 1 through 25,	Date:	May 4, 2023
19	inclusive,	Time: Place:	9:00 a.m. Dept. 52
	Defendants.		•
20		Complaint Filed: Trial Date:	July 6, 2022 February 7, 2024
21		_ IIIai Date.	1 coluary 7, 2024
22			
23			
24	Plaintiff Dylan Yeiser-Fodness ("	Plaintiff") respectfu	ally submits the below
25	Plaintiff Dylan Yeiser-Fodness ("Plaintiff") respectfully submits the below		
26	Supplemental Opposition to Defendant Master Dog Training's ("Defendant") Motion		
27	to Compel Arbitration and To Stay or Dismiss Proceedings, per the Court's order of		
28	April 12, 2023:		

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION

I. ADDITIONAL ARGUMENTS

A. Defendants Failed to File a Reply

Despite Defendants' extremely untimely service of the Notice of Motion and Motion, Plaintiff timely filed his opposition to Defendants' Motion on March 29, 2023. Defendants' reply to Plaintiff's opposition was due on April 5, 2023. Yet, to date, Defendants have not filed any reply, and therefore have not responded to any of Plaintiff's arguments in his original opposition. Failure to file a reply may be taken as an admission of the lack of merit of a party's original motion. (See Redevelopment Agency v. Commission on State Mandates, 43 Cal. App. 4th 1188, 1193 n.2 (declining to question the trial court's construal of the "absence of a reply memo as an admission of the lack of merit of its original motion"); see also Naif v. Mazzei, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 87212 at *17 [citing counsel's failure to timely file reply papers in support of a reduction in counsel's fee award].) Especially in light of Defendants' repeated dilatory tactics and failure to abide by this Court's direct orders, this Court should construe their failure to file a reply as an admission of the lack of merit of their original motion.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court deny Defendants' Motion in its entirety with prejudice. In the alternative, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court impose a stay on Plaintiff's action until an arbitration is completed in accordance with the order to arbitrate.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature on next page.]

	D 4 1 A 31 01 0000	I OVD ADG
1	Dated: April 21, 2023	LOYR, APC
2		Kyv
3		
4		Young W. Ryu, Esq. Joshua Park, Esq.
5		Kee Seok Mah, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff DYLAN YEISER-
6		FODNESS
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		2

PROOF OF SERVICE

2

1

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

1920

21

2223

2425

26

2728

I am over 18 years old and not a party to this action. My business address is 1055 West 7th Street, Suite 2290, Los Angeles, California 90017.

On April 21, 2023, I served the following documents in a sealed envelope on the interested party as follows:

PLAINTIFF'S SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION AND TO STAY OR DISMISS PROCEEDINGS

Natalia Foley nfoleylaw@gmail.com

LAW OFFICES OF NATALIA FOLEY

751 S Weir Canyon Rd Ste 157-455 Anaheim CA 92808

Attorney for Defendants

BY U.S. MAIL:

I enclosed the foregoing document in a sealed envelope to the interest parties at the address listed above and deposited the sealed envelope for collection and mailing following my firm's ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with my firm's business practices for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit.

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:

My electronic service address is harley.phleger@loywr.com. Per the parties' agreement, through their respective counsel, to accept electronic service and pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6, I served the foregoing document on the interested party at the electronic service addresses (e-mail addresses) listed above and did not receive Notice of Failure

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on April 21, 2023, in Los Angeles, California.

Harley Phleger